# Chemical substance solubilities in mixtures: not so simple

Julien BERLUSCONI, Pascal BICHEREL, Pauline FRIZET, Rija SAMSERÀ, Paul THOMAS

**CEHTRA, Consultancy for Environmental and Human Toxicology and Risk Assessment** CEHTRA SAS, Bât. Corvette, 80 Rue Condorcet, 38090 Vaulx-Milieu, France

www.cehtra.com

### Introduction

CEHTRA

Mixtures and mixture toxicity is of current environmental concern as actual aqueous concentrations of each constituent and therefore the toxicity of the mixture cannot be readily predicted. Today, the current OECD 23 Guideline<sup>1</sup> advocates use of the water-accommodated fraction (WAF) method (i.e. testing the constituents) together at a specific loading concentration) in order to determine toxicity for multi-component substances. In the absence of experimental data it is possible to use an additivity approach to predict toxicity as described in the CLP Regulation<sup>2</sup>. However, the EC<sub>50</sub>s from WAF experimental values and those determined by the CLP additivity method have shown large differences (1 to 2 magnitude orders). The aim of this poster is to present recent work on in silico methods to predict aqueous concentrations of complex mixtures and their subsequent toxicity to aquatic organisms which may ultimately allow us to replace both WAF and CLP methods.

### **Materials and Methods**

Table 1: Constituents within mixture together respective structures, water solubilities,

For a specific mixture with four constituents, water solubility and Daphnid acute EC<sub>50</sub> values of the individual constituents are provided from our own QSAR<sup>3</sup>. Some solubility values are obtained from studies following improved slow-stir method of OECD 105 Guideline (Thomas and Burosse, 2012)<sup>4</sup>.

The mixture composition is for a hypothetical compound in which all constituents are present at the same mass fraction (25%).

We used an algorithm based on the thermodynamic activity (i.e. the effective concentration for non-ideal solution) to calculate water solubility of each constituent in mixture.

### **Results and Discussion**

50 4114 114 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4								
Name	Cineol 1,8	Cineol 1,4	Terpinolene	Limonenes				
Chemical structure	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>		H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>	$(R) (CH_{2}) (CH_{2}) (CH_{2})$				
Water solubility (mg/L)	>2000	ca. 466	ca. 7	ca. 4				
Daphnid Acute EC <sub>50</sub> (mg/L)	29,85	9,65	0,53	0,36				
Aass fraction in mixture (%)	25	25	25	25				

For a hypothetical mixture in which the relative concentration of all components (mass fraction) is equal, the following results were determined. The graph below presents mass fraction (in percent) for individual constituents within a mixture at a series of aqueous concentrations (1, 10 and 100 mg/L mixture in water). The calculated toxicity following the additivity approach in the CLP Guidance has been employed to classify this mixture at different WAF concentrations and is outlined in Table 2.



Table 2: Limonene and Cineol 1,8 concentrations and CLP calculation of toxicity at a series of WAF loading rates.

Loading rate	1 mg/L	10 mg/L	100 mg/L
Limonene concentration (mg/L)	0.25	1.02	1.02
Cineol 1,8 concentration (mg/L)	0.25	2.50	25.00
EC <sub>50</sub> mixture acute daphnid (mg/L)	0.84	1.19	5.48
Aquatic Acute Classification of the mixture	Acute 1	No Acute C&L	No Acute C&L

#### EC<sub>50</sub> and mass fractions



**Figure 1: iSAFERAT®<sup>5</sup> prediction of mass fraction at a series of WAF loading rates.** 

## **Conclusions**

- These results confirm the constituents of a mixture tend to suppress each other's solubility (Banerjee, 1984)<sup>6</sup>. Thus, the aqueous solubility of the constituents in a mixture is less than the pure substance.
- Substance solubility in mixtures depends on constituent mass fraction in the mixture and interactions between substances. Consequently, the concentration of a particular constituent in the mixture cannot be easily measured.

Within the mixture, the concentration of Cineol 1,8 is not limited by its solubility at any aqueous concentration as illustrated in Figure 1. However, the concentration of Limonene (or Terpinolene) depends not only upon its solubility but also on the maximum aqueous concentration it can attain within the specific mixture. So the higher the loading rate, the lower the proportion of the most toxic substances (i.e. Limonene and Terpinolene) in the aqueous solution. Therefore, according to the CLP additivity method based on percent of each constituent in mixture, the higher the loading rate, the less the  $EC_{50}$  fraction contributes to the toxicity of the mixture (Table 2). This is of course in contradiction with the reality. With a 1 mg/L loading rate, the mixture is thus classified Aquatic Acute 1 while at 10 mg/L (or *a fortiori*) 100 mg/L), the mixture is not classified as an acute hazard by the CLP Regulation.

- The CLP prediction may be wrong: in certain cases, a toxic fraction may be predicted to be present by CLP but in reality toxic concentration in a toxicity test may never be reached as its maximum mass fraction is limited by other constituents in the mixture.
- The CLP additivity method is not adapted for WAFs with higher loading rates because we obtain a paradoxical result: the higher the loading rate, the less the mixture toxicity.

For multi-component substances, the CLP calculation should be refined by normalizing with loading rate.

Alternative method: Today, it is possible to calculate the true concentration of individual constituents within a known mixture using in silico methods. One of the aims of iSAFERAT<sup>®</sup> is to determine the toxicity of individual constituent and using the activity based algorithm, accurately calculate a WAF based toxicity without recourse to animal experimentation.

### References

<sup>1</sup>OECD guideline 23 [2000] Guidance document on aquatic toxicity testing of difficult substances and mixtures <sup>2</sup>Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging (CLP) of substances and mixtures <sup>3</sup>CEHTRA internal tool 2013

<sup>4</sup>Thomas and Burosse, Improved OECD 105 Water solubility test design, presented at 6th SETAC World Congress, Berlin, 20-24 May 2012.

<sup>5</sup>iSAFERAT<sup>®</sup> Version 1.1 in Silico Algorythm For Environmental Risk Assessment And Toxicity

<sup>6</sup>Banerjee, S. Solubility of organic mixtures in water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1984, 18, 587-591

### **TU 264**

This poster is presented at SETAC Europe 23rd annual meeting 2013, Glasgow, UK.